

## **Deep Reinforcement Learning**

**Dynamic Programming** 

Julien Vitay Professur für Künstliche Intelligenz - Fakultät für Informatik

### **Dynamic Programming (DP)**



- After enough iterations, the policy converges to the **optimal policy** (if the states are Markov).
- Two main algorithms: **policy iteration** and **value iteration**.

Ξ

• Dynamic Programming (DP) iterates over two steps:

#### 1. Policy evaluation

• For a given policy  $\pi$ , the value of all states  $V^{\pi}(s)$  or all stateaction pairs  $Q^{\pi}(s,a)$  is calculated based on the Bellman equations:

$$\left\{ s 
ight\} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \; \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V^{\pi}(s') 
ight]$$

#### 2. Policy improvement

- From the current estimated values  $V^{\pi}(s)$  or  $Q^{\pi}(s,a)$ , a new **better** policy  $\pi$  is derived.

$$\pi' \leftarrow \operatorname{Greedy}(V^{\pi})$$

## 1 - Policy iteration

 $\equiv$ 

• Bellman equation for the state s and a fixed policy  $\pi$ :

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,a) \right]$$

• Let's note  $\mathcal{P}^\pi_{ss'}$  the transition probability between s and s' (dependent on the policy  $\pi$ ) and  $\mathcal{R}^\pi_s$  the expected reward in *s* (also dependent):

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} &= \sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, p(s'|s,a) \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}_{s} &= \sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s'\in\mathcal{S}} \, p(s'|s,a) \, r(s,a,s') \end{aligned}$$

- The Bellman equation becomes  $V^{\pi}(s) = \mathcal{R}^{\pi}_s + \gamma$
- As we have a fixed policy during the evaluation (MRP), the Bellman equation is simplified.





$$\sum_{s'\in\mathcal{S}} \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} \, V^{\pi}(s')$$

 $\equiv$ 

- Let's now put the Bellman equations in a matrix-vector form.
  - $V^{\pi}(s) = \mathcal{R}^{\pi}_s + \gamma$
- We first define the **vector of state values**  $\mathbf{V}^{\pi}$ :

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = egin{bmatrix} V^{\pi}(s_1) \ V^{\pi}(s_2) \ dots \ dots \ V^{\pi}(s_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

• The state transition matrix  $\mathcal{P}^{\pi}$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\pi} = egin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_{1}s_{1}} & \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_{1}s_{2}} & \dots & \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_{1}s_{n}} \ \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_{2}s_{1}} & \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_{2}s_{2}} & \dots & \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_{2}s_{n}} \ dots & d$$

$$\sim \sum_{s'\in\mathcal{S}} \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} \, V^{\pi}(s')$$

• and the **vector of expected reward**  $\mathbf{R}^{\pi}$ :

$$\mathbf{R}^{\pi} = egin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_1) \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_2) \ dots \ dots \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

• You can simply check that:

$$egin{bmatrix} V^{\pi}(s_1) \ V^{\pi}(s_2) \ dots \ V^{\pi}(s_n) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_1) \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_2) \ dots \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_2) \ dots \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_n) \end{bmatrix} + \gamma egin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_1s_1} \ \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{s_2s_1} \ dots \ \mathcal{R}^{\pi}(s_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

leads to the same equations as:

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbf{R}^{\pi}_{s} + \gamma \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} \, V^{\pi}(s')$$

for all states s.

 $\equiv$ 

• The Bellman equations for all states s can therefore be written with a matrix-vector notation as:

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi}=\mathbf{R}^{\pi}$$
 .



 $+\,\gamma\,\mathcal{P}^{\pi}\,\mathbf{V}^{\pi}$ 

• The Bellman equations for all states *s* is:

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = \mathbf{R}^{\pi} + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi} \, \mathbf{V}^{\pi}$$

• If we know  $\mathcal{P}^{\pi}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^{\pi}$  (dynamics of the MDP for the policy  $\pi$ ), we can simply obtain the state values:

 $(\mathbb{I} - \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}) imes \mathbf{V}^{\pi} = \mathbf{R}^{\pi}$ 

where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity matrix, what gives:

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = (\mathbb{I} - \gamma$$

Done!

 $\equiv$ 

- But, if we have n states, the matrix  $\mathcal{P}^{\pi}$  has  $n^2$  elements.
- Inverting  $\mathbb{I} \gamma \mathcal{P}^{\pi}$  requires at least  $\mathcal{O}(n^{2.37})$  operations.
- Forget it if you have more than a thousand states ( $1000^{2.37}pprox 13$  million operations).
- In dynamic programming, we will use iterative methods to estimate  $\mathbf{V}^{\pi}$ .

 $(\mathcal{P}^{\pi})^{-1} imes \mathbf{R}^{\pi}$ 

#### Iterative policy evaluation

• The idea of iterative policy evaluation (IPE) is to consider a sequence of consecutive state-value functions which should converge from initially wrong estimates  $V_0(s)$  towards the real state-value function  $V^{\pi}(s)$ .



Source: David Silver. http://www0.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/d.silver/web/Teaching.html

 $\equiv$ 

$$V_k o V_{k+1} o \ldots o V^\pi$$

- The value function at step k+1  $V_{k+1}(s)$  is computed using the previous estimates  $V_k(s)$  and the Bellman equation transformed into an **update** rule.
- In vector notation:

$$\mathbf{V}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}^{\pi} + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi} \, \mathbf{V}_k$$

#### Iterative policy evaluation

- Let's start with dummy (e.g. random) initial estimates  $V_0(s)$  for the value of every state s.
- We can obtain new estimates  $V_1(s)$  which are slightly less wrong by applying once the **Bellman operator**:

$$V_1(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V_0(s') 
ight] \quad orall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

- Based on these estimates  $V_1(s)$ , we can obtain even better estimates  $V_2(s)$  by applying again the Bellman operator:

$$V_2(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V_1(s') 
ight] \quad orall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

• Generally, state-value function estimates are improved iteratively through:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V_k(s') 
ight] \quad orall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

•  $V_{\infty}=V^{\pi}$  is a fixed point of this update rule because of the uniqueness of the solution to the Bellman equation.

Ξ

#### **Bellman operator**

 $\equiv$ 

• The **Bellman operator**  $\mathcal{T}^{\pi}$  is a mapping between two vector spaces:

$${\mathcal T}^{\pi}({f V})={f R}$$

- the Bellman equations  $\mathbf{V}^{\pi}$ .
- Mathematically speaking,  $\mathcal{T}^{\pi}$  is a  $\gamma$ -contraction, i.e. it makes value functions closer by at least  $\gamma$ :

$$||\mathcal{T}^{\pi}(\mathbf{V})-\mathcal{T}^{\pi}(\mathbf{U})||_{\infty}\leq \gamma\,||\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{U}||_{\infty}$$

- The **contraction mapping theorem** ensures that  $\mathcal{T}^{\pi}$  converges to an unique fixed point:
  - Existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Bellman equations.

 $\mathbf{R}^{\pi} + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi} \, \mathbf{V}$ 

• If you apply repeatedly the Bellman operator on any initial vector  ${f V}_0$ , it converges towards the solution of

## **Backup diagram of IPE**

• Iterative Policy Evaluation relies on **full backups**: it backs up the value of ALL possible successive states into the new value of a state.

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V_k(s') 
ight] \quad orall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

• **Backup diagram:** which other values do you need to know in order to update one value?



• The backups are **synchronous**: all states are backed up in parallel.

$$\mathbf{V}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}^{\pi} + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi} \, \mathbf{V}_k$$

- The termination of iterative policy evaluation has to be controlled by hand, as the convergence of the algorithm is only at the limit.
- It is good practice to look at the variations on the values of the different states, and stop the iteration when this variation falls below a predefined threshold.

#### Iterative policy evaluation

- For a fixed policy  $\pi$ , initialize  $V(s)=0 \; orall s \in \mathcal{S}.$
- while not converged:
  - for all states s:

$$\circ \; V_{ ext{target}}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s)$$

•  $\delta = 0$ 

 $\equiv$ 

- for all states s:
  - $\circ \ \delta = \max(\delta, |V(s) V_{ ext{target}}(s)|)$

$$\circ V(s) = V_{ ext{target}}(s)$$

- if  $\delta < \delta_{ ext{threshold}}$ :
  - converged = True

 $s'|s,a)\left[r(s,a,s')+\gamma\,V(s')
ight]$ 

### **Dynamic Programming (DP)**

 $\equiv$ 



#### • Dynamic Programming (DP) iterates over two steps:

#### 1. Policy evaluation

• For a given policy  $\pi$ , the value of all states  $V^{\pi}(s)$  or all stateaction pairs  $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is calculated based on the Bellman equations:

$$egin{aligned} s) &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \; \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V^{\pi}(s') 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

#### 2. Policy improvement

• From the current estimated values  $V^{\pi}(s)$  or  $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$ , a new **better** policy  $\pi$  is derived.

### **Policy improvement**

- in order to improve the policy.
- The value of an action a in the state s for the policy  $\pi$  is given by:

$$Q^{\pi}(s,a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s) 
ight]$$

• If the Q-value of an action a is higher than the one currently selected by the **deterministic** policy:

$$Q^\pi(s,a)>Q^\pi(s,\pi(s))=V^\pi(s)$$

then it is better to select a once in s and thereafter follow  $\pi$ .

- If there is no better action, we keep the previous policy for this state.
- This corresponds to a greedy action selection over the Q-values, defining a deterministic policy  $\pi(s)$ :

$$\pi(s) \gets \mathrm{argmax}_a \ Q^{\pi}(s,a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \ V^{\pi}(s') 
ight]$$

• For each state s, we would like to know if we should deterministically choose an action  $a 
eq \pi(s)$  or not

 $(s') + \gamma \, V^{\pi}(s') ]$ 



### **Policy improvement**

• After the policy improvement, the Q-value of each deterministic action  $\pi(s)$  has increased or stayed the same.

$$\mathrm{argmax}_a Q^\pi(s,a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V^\pi(s') 
ight] \geq Q^\pi(s,\pi(s))$$

- This defines an **improved** policy  $\pi'$ , where all states and actions have a higher value than previously.
- Greedy action selection over the state value function implements policy improvement:

**Greedy policy improvement:** 

• for each state  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ :

 $\equiv$ 

•  $\pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a \sum_{s' \in S} p(s'|s, a) \left[ r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right]$ 

 $\pi' \leftarrow \operatorname{Greedy}(V^{\pi})$ 

#### **Policy iteration**



- The **optimal policy** being deterministic, policy improvement can be greedy over the state values.
- If the policy does not change after policy improvement, the optimal policy has been found.

### **Policy iteration**

- Initialize a deterministic policy  $\pi(s)$  and set V(s)=0  $orall s\in \mathcal{S}.$
- while  $\pi$  is not optimal:
  - while not converged: # Policy evaluation
    - **for** all states *s*:

$$\circ \; V_{ ext{target}}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \; \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} v_{ ext{target}}(s)$$

• **for** all states *s*:

$$\circ ~V(s) = V_{ ext{target}}(s)$$

• for each state  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ : # Policy improvement

$$\circ \pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[ r(s, a) \right]$$

• if  $\pi$  has not changed: break

 $\equiv$ 

#### $\sum_{\mathbf{s}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V(s') ight]$

 $(a,s')+\gamma\,V^\pi(s')]\,,$ 

## 2 - Value iteration

#### Value iteration

- One drawback of **policy iteration** is that it uses a full policy evaluation, which can be computationally exhaustive as the convergence of  $V_k$  is only at the limit and the number of states can be huge.
- The idea of value iteration is to interleave policy evaluation and policy improvement, so that the policy is improved after EACH iteration of policy evaluation, not after complete convergence.
- As policy improvement returns a deterministic greedy policy, updating of the value of a state is then simpler:

$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) [r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V_k(s')]$$

- Note that this is equivalent to turning the Bellman optimality equation into an update rule.
- Value iteration converges to  $V^*$ , faster than policy iteration, and should be stopped when the values do not change much anymore.

#### Value iteration

- Initialize a deterministic policy  $\pi(s)$  and set V(s)=0  $orall s\in \mathcal{S}.$
- while not converged:
  - for all states s:

$$\circ V_{ ext{target}}(s) = \max_a \ \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s) \right]$$

• 
$$\delta = 0$$

 $\equiv$ 

- for all states s:
  - $\circ \ \delta = \max(\delta, |V(s) V_{ ext{target}}(s)|)$  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{x})$

$$\circ \ V(s) = V_{ ext{target}}(s)$$

- if 
$$\delta < \delta_{ ext{threshold}}$$
:

converged = True

 $(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V(s')]$ 

# **Comparison of Policy- and Value-iteration**

Full policy-evaluation backup

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \, \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} g_{s' \in \mathcal{S}}$$



Full value-iteration backup

 $\equiv$ 

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|$$



 $p(s'|s,a)\left[r(s,a,s')+\gamma \, V_k(s')
ight]$ 

 $|s,a)\left[r(s,a,s')+\gamma\,V_k(s')
ight]$ 

#### Asynchronous dynamic programming

- Synchronous DP requires exhaustive sweeps of the entire state set (synchronous backups).
  - while not converged:
    - **for** all states *s*:

$$\circ V_{ ext{target}}(s) = \max_a \ \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[ r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V(s') 
ight]$$

• **for** all states *s*:

$$\circ ~V(s) = V_{ ext{target}}(s)$$

- Asynchronous DP updates instead each state independently and asynchronously (in-place):
  - while not converged:

 $\equiv$ 

- $\circ$  Pick a state *s* randomly (or following a heuristic).
- Update the value of this state.

$$V(s) = \max_a \; \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a)$$

• We must still ensure that all states are visited, but their frequency and order is irrelevant.

 $[r(s,a,s')+\gamma \,V(s')]$ 

# **Efficiency of Dynamic Programming**



 Policy-iteration and value-iteration consist of alternations between policy evaluation and policy improvement, although at different frequencies.

• This principle is called **Generalized Policy Iteration** (GPI).

• Finding an optimal policy is polynomial in the number of states and actions:  $\mathcal{O}(n^2\,m)$  (n is the number of states, mthe number of actions).

• However, the number of states is often astronomical, e.g., often growing exponentially with the number of state variables (what Bellman called "the curse of dimensionality").

• In practice, classical DP can only be applied to problems with a few millions of states.

## **Curse of dimensionality**



Source: https://medium.com/diogo-menezes-borges/give-me-the-antidote-for-the-curse-of-dimensionality-b14bce4bf4d2

- If one variable can be represented by 5 discrete values:
  - 2 variables necessitate 25 states,

 $\equiv$ 

- 3 variables need 125 states, and so on...
- The number of states explodes exponentially with the number of dimensions of the problem.